Despite what Stephens told Bill Short
the government had Stephens sign an
affidavit of alleged client contact
Stephens says it was not & would not
have been Roy

Roy have the letter and what I got
316-70 & printed in F-4 — nothing
else & do not recollect.

Even Hanes who had & read F. 64) does not
Then report what F-4 said of Fritz or
& did not pursue efforts to do the work
in court as we did. My color
Q-64 altered price we saw it — Ron jacket
& bow one only. Now seems to be 3 pieces

" The truth of course could best come from T.O.R. "
only if he were guilty & knew others
Even on "cliff" — if he told me" — Nothing
not already in print

Approach straight from F.H
No evidence of their own - only re-quotations
of mine, with Haines's substituted on
occasion
Even plainly my work product
Claimed only Neil Folt use his mine
dates to 4/15/75 - before they started -
& they knew it & died at 11/12/75
Haines said they outside 5:30 - not 6:01 &
not what he said in hearing
No evidence at hearing - in which I was
investigator - mentioned. Even hearing
itself not mentioned although CBS had Ed
Reed & an artist there.
Did they use the picture & held up of the
slug as Newton - not admitting?
No single new witness - not single item of
new evidence. Omitted most significant
FBI statement on windowsill - no powder, etc.
Did they get this from me? FBI said I gave
them what they had not gotten.